

The quantization of the symplectic groupoid of the standard Podleś sphere

F. Bonechi*, N. Ciccoli[†], N. Staffolani[‡] and M. Tarlini[§]

April 20, 2010

Abstract

We give an explicit form of the symplectic groupoid $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{S}^2, \pi)$ that integrates the semiclassical standard Podleś sphere $(\mathbb{S}^2, \pi)$. We show that Sheu's groupoid $\mathcal{G}_S$, whose convolution C^* -algebra quantizes the sphere, appears as the groupoid of the Bohr-Sommerfeld leaves of a (singular) real polarization of $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{S}^2, \pi)$. By using a complex polarization we recover the convolution algebra on the space of polarized sections. We stress the role of the modular class in the definition of the scalar product in order to get the correct quantum space.

*INFN Sezione di Firenze, email: bonechi@fi.infn.it

[†]Dipartimento di Matematica, Univ. di Perugia, email: ciccoli@dipmat.unipg.it

[‡]INFN Sezione di Firenze, email: nicola.staffolani@gmail.com

[§]INFN Sezione di Firenze, email: marco.tarlini@fi.infn.it

1 Introduction

Quantization, very generally, can be seen as a process which aims at replacing a set of commutative classical observables with non commuting quantum ones. There are two distinct ways to achieve this goal: either changing the nature of observables, from functions to linear operators, or changing the product from pointwise product to a non commutative $\star$ -product. Roughly speaking these two approaches correspond respectively to *geometric quantization* and *deformation quantization*. Integration by symplectic groupoids was introduced, from the beginning, as a tool to quantize Poisson manifolds, *i.e.* when the Poisson bracket is degenerate. Generally speaking the quantization of the graph of the groupoid multiplication should equip the Hilbert space of states with a $\star$ -algebra structure, which can be seen as the quantization of the Poisson manifold of units. The geometric prequantization procedure was fully developed in [25], where it is shown that it can be interpreted as a groupoid extension. More difficult problems, in this context, come into play when one has to deal with polarizations, which has been largely ignored since then. The full picture of quantization through symplectic groupoids was clarified only in few cases, the main one being the non commutative irrational torus in [24].

Recently in [12] it was introduced a notion of multiplicative polarization taking into account compatibility conditions between the symplectic and groupoid structure. In the best possible case the set of Lagrangian leaves of the polarization inherits a structure of topological groupoid itself. It is then possible to consider the convolution C^* -algebra of this groupoid as being a quantization of the original Poisson manifold. The difficulties here reflects the usual rigidity of geometric quantization: how to deal with compact leaves and consequent Bohr-Sommerfeld rules, need of complex polarizations, etc....

Quantum groups and related homogeneous spaces are examples which have been extensively studied at the quantum level and a lot is known about the C^* -algebras of these quantum spaces. In particular in a series of papers A. Sheu [21, 20] has observed that C^* -algebras of quantum groups are related to specific groupoid C^* -algebras. In his approach such groupoids appear from a detailed analysis of the combinatorics of the space of irreducible $\star$ -representations of the C^* -algebra (or from the symplectic foliation of the underlying Poisson manifold - the two being strictly linked). We want to investigate how these groupoids can be related to the geometric quantization of the symplectic groupoid integrating the semiclassical Poisson manifold. In this paper we will restrict this analysis to the simplest example of quantum homogeneous space, the so called *standard Podleś sphere*. The C^* -algebra of this quantum homogeneous space is simply the unitization of compact operators, as observed already in [17]; according to [20], it can be described as the groupoid C^* -algebra of a particular subgroupoid $\mathcal{G}_S$ of

the so called Cuntz groupoid $\mathcal{O}_1$. This C^* -algebra can be seen as a quantization of a $SU(2)$ -covariant Poisson structure on the sphere having only two symplectic leaves: a degenerate point with quadratic singularity and a symplectic plane (see [19]). Despite its apparent simplicity, this example is already quite involved from the point of view of quantization: for instance the Poisson cohomology class of the Poisson tensor is not trivial so that the prequantization cocycle is not trivial too. Moreover, the modular class is not zero, so that a modular operator is expected to come out in the quantization.

The first step of the program is to construct an explicit description of the symplectic groupoid integrating the semiclassical sphere and to discuss the modular function as the function that integrates the modular vector field. We will use a description of the integration of Poisson homogeneous spaces that has been given only recently in [1, 15] (see also [23, 9]). Relying on the explicit computation of Poisson cohomology given in [10], we can conclude that the prequantization cocycle is non trivial. We then define a partition of the symplectic groupoid in lagrangian submanifolds whose Bohr-Sommerfeld leaves reproduce the Sheu groupoid $\mathcal{G}_S$. This partition, though multiplicative in the sense of [12] fails to be a real polarization, even in a generalized sense, due to the singularity of the leaf defined by the degenerate point. However it allows to define a topological groupoid on the space of Bohr-Sommerfeld leaves which turns out to be exactly the Sheu's groupoid. We then introduce also a complex polarization on the same groupoid and reconstruct a Hilbert space of states. In both cases the modular function preserves the polarization and is quantizable; the corresponding operator is the expected modular operator. In the complex case, we discuss a possible convolution product that recovers the convolution algebra of $\mathcal{G}_S$. It looks more natural to interpret this algebra as an Hilbert algebra, so that the natural outcome of the construction is the associated Von Neumann algebra. We discuss from this point of view the definition of the scalar product, which is a delicate point.

In Section 2 we fix conventions and recall background material. In Section 3 we recall the basic results of [25] on the prequantization of a symplectic groupoid and the definition of multiplicative polarization given in [12]. In section 4 we recall basic facts of groupoid C^* -algebras following [18] and discuss in some detail the case of $\mathcal{O}_1$. In Section 5 we collect the semiclassical and quantum results about the standard Podle's sphere, in particular we describe the groupoid $\mathcal{G}_S$ underlying the C^* -algebra of the quantum space. In Section 6 we describe explicitly the symplectic integration of the Poisson sphere. In Section 7 we discuss the prequantization and introduce two distinct polarizations: the first one being real and singular and which recovers $\mathcal{G}_S$ as groupoid of B-S leaves, the other one being complex which recovers the convolution algebra on the space of polarized sections.

2 Notations and conventions

We introduce here basic notions and notations of geometric quantization and Lie groupoid theory.

2.1 Geometric quantization

We follow notations and conventions of [22] for hamiltonian dynamics and geometric quantization. Let (M, Ω) be a symplectic manifold. For any $f \in C^\infty(M)$ we define the hamiltonian vector field of f as the vector field χ_f such that $\iota_{\chi_f} \Omega = -df$; the Poisson bracket between $f, g \in C^\infty(M)$ is then given by

$$\{f, g\} = \langle \Omega, \chi_g \wedge \chi_f \rangle = \chi_g(f) = -\chi_f(g).$$

Hamiltonian vector fields define a right representation of the Poisson bracket, *i.e.* $[\chi_f, \chi_g] = -\chi_{\{f, g\}}$.

If the symplectic form is integer, *i.e.* it defines a class $\Omega/i\hbar \in H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$, there exists a *prequantization*, *i.e.* a line bundle Λ over M with connection ∇ , whose curvature $R_\nabla = -(i/\hbar)\Omega$. If Θ is a local primitive of Ω , we then write $\nabla = d - \frac{i}{\hbar}\Theta$.

A *polarization* is a lagrangian, involutive distribution F of the complexified tangent bundle $(TM)_\mathbb{C}$. It is positive if $i\Omega(X, \bar{X}) \geq 0$ for any $X \in \Gamma(F)$. There are two (possibly singular) real distribution associated $\mathcal{D}_\mathbb{C} = F \cap \bar{F}$ and $\mathcal{E}_\mathbb{C} = F + \bar{F}$. The polarization is *real* if $F = \bar{F}$.

By using the symplectic form we can identify $F^\perp = \Omega(F)$. On $F^\perp$ there is defined the *Bott connection* $\nabla_X(\xi) = \iota_X d\xi$, for any $X \in \Gamma(F)$. This connection is extended to any square root $\sqrt{\det F^\perp}$. The space of polarized sections is

$$\mathcal{H}(F) = \{\sigma \otimes \lambda \in \Gamma(\Lambda \otimes \sqrt{\det F}) \mid \nabla_X(\sigma \otimes \lambda) = 0, X \in \Gamma(F)\}.$$

The natural product between two polarized sections takes value in $\sqrt{\det F^\perp} \otimes \sqrt{\det \bar{F}^\perp}$, which is naturally isomorphic to $\sqrt{\det \mathcal{E}_\mathbb{C}^\perp} \otimes \sqrt{\det \mathcal{D}_\mathbb{C}^\perp}$. The exterior product with Ω^k , where $2k = \text{rk} \mathcal{E} - \text{rk} \mathcal{D}$, gives the isomorphism

$$\Omega^k : \det \mathcal{E}_\mathbb{C}^\perp \rightarrow \det \mathcal{D}_\mathbb{C}^\perp, \quad (1)$$

that defines the inner product on $\mathcal{H}(F)$ as an integral on $M/\mathcal{D}$.

Any function $f \in C^\infty(M)$ whose hamiltonian flux preserves the polarization can be quantized. Let $\underline{b} = \{\chi_i\}$ be a basis of hamiltonian vector fields of F ; then we have that $[\chi_f, \chi_i] = \sum_j a_i^j(f) \chi_j$. We then define

$$\hat{f}(\sigma \otimes \sqrt{\underline{b}}) = \left[-i\hbar \nabla_{\chi_f} + f - \frac{i\hbar}{2} \sum_i a_i^i(f) \right] \sigma \otimes \sqrt{\underline{b}}. \quad (2)$$

2.2 Symplectic groupoid

Let $\mathcal{G} = (\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}_0, l_{\mathcal{G}}, r_{\mathcal{G}}, m_{\mathcal{G}}, \iota_{\mathcal{G}}, \epsilon_{\mathcal{G}})$ be a Lie groupoid over the space of units $\mathcal{G}_0$, where $l_{\mathcal{G}}, r_{\mathcal{G}} : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_0$ are the source and target maps, respectively, $m_{\mathcal{G}} : \mathcal{G}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ is the multiplication, $\iota_{\mathcal{G}} : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ is the inversion and $\epsilon_{\mathcal{G}} : \mathcal{G}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ is the embedding of units. Our conventions are that $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \mathcal{G}_2$ if $r_{\mathcal{G}}(\gamma_1) = l_{\mathcal{G}}(\gamma_2)$. We say that $\mathcal{G}$ is source simply connected (ssc) if $l_{\mathcal{G}}^{-1}(x)$ is connected and simply connected for any $x \in \mathcal{G}_0$.

We denote with $\mathcal{G}_k$ the space of strings of k -composable elements of $\mathcal{G}$, with the convention that $\mathcal{G}_1 = \mathcal{G}$. The face maps are $d_i : \mathcal{G}_s \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{s-1}$, $i = 0, \dots, s$, defined for $s > 1$ as

$$d_i(\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_s) = \begin{cases} (\gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_s) & i = 0 \\ (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_i \gamma_{i+1} \dots) & 0 < i < s \\ (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_{s-1}) & i = s \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

and for $s = 1$ as $d_0(\gamma) = l_{\mathcal{G}}(\gamma)$, $d_1(\gamma) = r_{\mathcal{G}}(\gamma)$. The simplicial coboundary operator $\partial^* : \Omega^k(\mathcal{G}_s) \rightarrow \Omega^k(\mathcal{G}_{s+1})$ is defined as

$$\partial^*(\omega) = \sum_{i=0}^s (-)^i d_i^*(\omega) ,$$

and $\partial^{*2} = 0$. The cohomology of this complex for $k = 0$ is what is called groupoid cohomology.

Let $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{G}'$ be groupoids, a *groupoid morphism* is a pair of maps $F : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}'$ and $f : \mathcal{G}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}'_0$ such that $l_{\mathcal{G}'} \circ F = f \circ l_{\mathcal{G}}$, $r_{\mathcal{G}'} \circ F = f \circ r_{\mathcal{G}}$ and $F(m_{\mathcal{G}}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)) = m_{\mathcal{G}'}(F(\gamma_1), F(\gamma_2))$ for $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \mathcal{G}_2$.

A *symplectic groupoid* is a Lie groupoid, which is equipped with a symplectic form $\Omega_{\mathcal{G}}$, such that the graph of the multiplication is a lagrangian submanifold of $\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G} \times \bar{\mathcal{G}}$, where $\bar{\mathcal{G}}$ means $\mathcal{G}$ with the opposite symplectic structure. An equivalent characterization for a Lie groupoid $\mathcal{G}$ to be a symplectic groupoid is that the symplectic form be multiplicative, *i.e.* $\partial^*(\Omega_{\mathcal{G}}) = 0$. There exists a unique Poisson structure on $\mathcal{G}_0$ such that $l_{\mathcal{G}}$ and $r_{\mathcal{G}}$ are Poisson and anti-Poisson mappings, respectively. A Poisson manifold is said to be *integrable* if it is the space of units of a symplectic groupoid.

Let (M, π) be a Poisson manifold, where we denote with π the bivector defined by the Poisson bracket as $\{f, g\} = \pi^{\mu\nu} \partial_{\mu}(f) \partial_{\nu}(g)$. As a consequence of the Jacobi identity of the Poisson brackets, $d_{LP}(X) = [\pi, X]$ squares to zero; its cohomology is called the Lichnerowicz-Poisson cohomology and is denoted with $H_{LP}(M, \pi)$. Two distinguished classes are relevant for what follows. The first one is the class $[\pi] \in H_{LP}^2(M, \pi)$ defined by the Poisson tensor itself. Let us assume that M is orientable, and let us choose a volume form V_M on M . The modular vector field

$\chi_{V_M} = \text{div}_{V_M} \pi$ is d_{LP} -closed; its cohomology class $\chi_{V_M} \in H_{LP}^1(M, \pi)$ does not depend on the choice of the volume form and is called the *modular class*.

To a Poisson manifold (M, π) there is always associated a topological groupoid $\Sigma(M)$ [2, 5]. The elements of $\Sigma(M)$ are equivalence classes of *cotangent paths* under the *cotangent homotopy*. A cotangent path is a C^1 -path $c : I \rightarrow T^*M$ such that

$$\pi^\sharp(c(t)) = \frac{d}{dt}p(c(t)),$$

with $p : T^*M \rightarrow M$ is the cotangent projection and the sharp map $\pi^\sharp : T^*M \rightarrow TM$ denotes the contraction with π . The source and target maps $l, r : \Sigma(M) \rightarrow M$ are given by $l([c]) = p(c(0))$ and $r([c]) = p(c(1))$, the multiplication in $\Sigma(M)$ is defined by the concatenation of cotangent paths. In general $\Sigma(M)$ is a topological groupoid, but if it is smooth $\Sigma(M)$ carries a symplectic structure that makes it the unique (ssc) symplectic groupoid integrating (M, π) .

Using this description of the symplectic groupoid, it is easy to see that any vector field χ of M that is closed $d_{LP}(\chi) = 0$ can be lifted to a groupoid 1-cocycle F_χ defined as

$$F_\chi([c]) = \int_0^1 \langle \chi(p(c(t))), c(t) \rangle dt. \quad (4)$$

In particular the modular vector field χ_{V_M} is lifted to the *modular function* F_{V_M} .

3 Geometric Quantization of Symplectic Groupoids

We review here the basic facts of the prequantization of a symplectic groupoid as established in [25] and give a notion of polarization as in [12].

The prequantization of a symplectic groupoid $\mathcal{G}(M)$ over $\mathcal{G}_0 = M$, seen as a symplectic manifold, is given by the pair (Λ, ∇) , where Λ is a hermitian line bundle over $\mathcal{G}(M)$ and ∇ is a connection whose curvature is proportional to the symplectic form $\Omega_{\mathcal{G}}$ of $\mathcal{G}(M)$. Additional requirements must be added to take into account the groupoid structure, as showed by P. Xu and A. Weinstein in [25].

Let $\partial^* \Lambda^*$ denote the (hermitian) line bundle $d_1^* \Lambda^* \otimes d_2^* \Lambda^* \otimes m_{\mathcal{G}}^* \Lambda$ over $\mathcal{G}_2(M)$, where d_i denote the face maps defined in (3) and $m_{\mathcal{G}}$ the multiplication on $\mathcal{G}(M)$. We give the following definition.

Definition 3.1. *A prequantization of the symplectic groupoid $\mathcal{G}(M)$ consists of the triple $(\Lambda, \nabla; \zeta)$ where (Λ, ∇) is a prequantization of $\mathcal{G}(M)$ as a symplectic manifold and ζ is a section of $\partial^* \Lambda^*$ such that:*

i) ζ has norm one and is multiplicative, i.e. it satisfies for $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3) \in \mathcal{G}_3(M)$

$$\zeta(\gamma_1, m_{\mathcal{G}}(\gamma_2, \gamma_3)) \otimes \zeta(\gamma_2, \gamma_3) = \zeta(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \otimes \zeta(m_{\mathcal{G}}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2), \gamma_3). \quad (5)$$

ii) ζ is covariantly constant, i.e. if $\Theta_{\mathcal{G}}$ is a (local) primitive of $\Omega_{\mathcal{G}}$, then ζ (locally) satisfies

$$d\zeta + (\partial^* \Theta_{\mathcal{G}})\zeta = 0. \quad (6)$$

The original formulation of [25] was given in terms of the *Souriau picture*, where the prequantization is a principal $\mathbb{S}^1$ -bundle $p_E : E \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(M)$ endowed with a connection c such that $dc = p_E^* \Omega_{\mathcal{G}}$. The line bundle Λ is then recovered as the associated bundle $\Lambda = E \times_{\rho_+} \mathbb{C}$ (so that $\Lambda^* = E \times_{\rho_-} \mathbb{C}$), where $\rho_{\pm}(e^{i\phi}) \cdot z = e^{\pm i\phi} z$, for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$. The connection c is flat when restricted to the lagrangian submanifold of units $\epsilon_{\mathcal{G}}(M) \subset \mathcal{G}(M)$. However, for a generic prequantization, this flat connection can have non trivial holonomy group. The existence of the prequantization cocycle ζ is equivalent to the triviality of the holonomy. Moreover, the cocycle defines a groupoid structure on E such that the restriction $c \oplus c \ominus c$ to the graph of the multiplication of E is zero.

An important consequence of the groupoid prequantization is the existence of an associative multiplication between fibres of Λ over composable points of $\mathcal{G}(M)$. In fact, the cocycle property (5) implies that the product $*_{\zeta} : \Lambda_{\gamma_1} \otimes \Lambda_{\gamma_2} \rightarrow \Lambda_{m_{\mathcal{G}}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)}$, defined for any $\psi_{\gamma_1} \in \Lambda_{\gamma_1}, \phi_{\gamma_2} \in \Lambda_{\gamma_2}, (\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \mathcal{G}_2(M)$, by

$$\psi_{\gamma_1} *_{\zeta} \phi_{\gamma_2} = \langle \zeta(\gamma_1, \gamma_2), \psi_{\gamma_1} \otimes \phi_{\gamma_2} \rangle, \quad (7)$$

is associative. We denote as well by $*_{\zeta} : \Gamma(\Lambda) \otimes \Gamma(\Lambda) \rightarrow \Gamma(m_{\mathcal{G}}^* \Lambda)$ the corresponding multiplication between sections of Λ .

Using results of [25], we can state the existence and uniqueness of the prequantization of $\mathcal{G}(M)$.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $\mathcal{G}(M)$ be (ssc) and $l_{\mathcal{G}}$ -locally trivial. If $\mathcal{G}(M)$ is prequantizable as a symplectic manifold, then there exists a unique prequantization without holonomy on $\epsilon_{\mathcal{G}}(M)$.*

The second step in geometric quantization is the choice of a polarization. Also at this step a compatibility with the groupoid structure can be required.

We use the following definition given in [12] in an attempt to construct a convolution product between polarized sections.

Definition 3.3. *For any distribution $\mathcal{P} \subset T_{\mathbb{C}}\mathcal{G}(M)$, let us denote $\mathcal{P}_2 = (\mathcal{P} \times \mathcal{P}) \cap T_{\mathbb{C}}\mathcal{G}_2(M)$. Then $\mathcal{P}$ is called multiplicative if, for every $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) \in \mathcal{G}_2(M)$,*

$$m_{\mathcal{G}*}(\mathcal{P}_2)_{(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)} = \mathcal{P}_{m_{\mathcal{G}}(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)}.$$

Let us now define, for a polarized section ψ , an adjoint map by $\psi^\dagger := \iota_{\mathcal{G}}^*(\overline{\psi})$. Then, requiring that the symplectic potential of ∇ is antinvariant with respect to $\iota_{\mathcal{G}}$, it can be demonstrated that $\psi^\dagger$ is still polarized if the distribution is *hermitian*, i.e. $\iota_{\mathcal{G}*}\mathcal{P} = \overline{\mathcal{P}}$. We are thus led to:

Definition 3.4. A polarization $\mathcal{P} \subset T_{\mathbb{C}}\mathcal{G}(M)$ of $\mathcal{G}(M)$ as symplectic manifold is called a polarization of $\mathcal{G}(M)$ as a symplectic groupoid if it is multiplicative and hermitian.

Remark 3.5. The basic model of a real multiplicative polarization is given as the kernel of a groupoid fibration. This is what happens for instance in [24].

4 Groupoid C^* -algebras

We informally describe some basic facts of the construction of groupoid C^* -algebras, following [18]. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a topological groupoid and let $C_c(\mathcal{G})$ denote the space of continuous functions with compact support. A *left Haar system* for $\mathcal{G}$ is a family of measures $\{\lambda^x, x \in \mathcal{G}_0\}$ on $\mathcal{G}$ such that

- i) the support of λ^x is $\mathcal{G}^x = l_{\mathcal{G}}^{-1}(x)$;
- ii) for any $f \in C_c(\mathcal{G})$, and $x \in \mathcal{G}_0$, $\lambda(f)(x) = \int_{\mathcal{G}} f d\lambda^x$, defines $\lambda(f) \in C_c(\mathcal{G}_0)$;
- iii) for any $\gamma \in \mathcal{G}$ and any $f \in C_c(\mathcal{G})$, $\int_{\mathcal{G}} f(\gamma\gamma') d\lambda^{r_{\mathcal{G}}(\gamma)}(\gamma') = \int_{\mathcal{G}} f(\gamma') d\lambda^{l_{\mathcal{G}}(\gamma)}(\gamma')$.

The composition of λ^x with the inverse map will be denoted as λ_x ; this family defines a *right Haar system* λ^{-1} . Any measure μ on the space of units $\mathcal{G}_0$ induces measures ν, ν^{-1} on the whole $\mathcal{G}$ through

$$\int_{\mathcal{G}} f d\nu = \int \lambda(f) d\mu, \quad \int_{\mathcal{G}} f d\nu^{-1} = \int \lambda^{-1}(f) d\mu.$$

The measure μ is said to be *quasi-invariant* if ν and ν^{-1} are equivalent measures; in this case the Radon-Nikodym derivative $D = d\nu/d\nu^{-1}$ is called the *modular function* of μ . The function $\log D \in Z^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{R})$ turns out to be a groupoid one cocycle with values in $\mathbb{R}$ and its cohomology class depends only on the equivalence class of μ .

The notion of quasi-invariant measure can be extended as follows: let $c \in Z^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{R})$ be a fixed cocycle and $\beta \in [-\infty, \infty]$. Consider the set:

$$\text{Min}(c) = \{x \in \mathcal{G}_0 : c(\mathcal{G}_x) \subset [0, \infty)\}.$$

A measure μ on $\mathcal{G}_0$ satisfies the (c, β) -KMS condition if

i) when β is finite, μ is quasi invariant and the modular function is $e^{-\beta c}$.

ii) when $\beta = \pm\infty$, the support of μ is contained in $\text{Min}(\pm c)$.

A normalized (c, ∞) -KMS measure is called a *ground state* for c .

Let $\zeta \in Z^2(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{T})$ be a continuous two-cocycle. For any $f, g \in C_c(\mathcal{G})$ let us define the convolution and the involution as

$$\begin{aligned} (f * g)(\gamma) &:= \int f(\gamma\gamma')g(\gamma'^{-1})\zeta(\gamma\gamma', \gamma'^{-1}) d\lambda^{r_{\mathcal{G}}(\gamma)}(\gamma'), \\ f^*(\gamma) &:= \overline{f(\gamma^{-1})} \overline{\zeta(\gamma, \gamma^{-1})} \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

The space $C_c(\mathcal{G})$ equipped with these operations defines the $*$ -algebra $C_c(\mathcal{G}, \zeta)$. The C^* -norm is defined as $\|f\| = \sup_L \|L(f)\|$ over all bounded representations L (we skip details, see [18]). The completion of $C_c(\mathcal{G}, \zeta)$ with respect to this norm defines the ζ -twisted convolution C^* -algebra $C^*(\mathcal{G}, \zeta)$ of the groupoid $\mathcal{G}$. We omit ζ in the notation when the cocycle is the trivial one.

Let now $c \in Z^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{R})$ be a one cocycle with values in $\mathbb{R}$. It defines an algebra automorphism

$$A_c : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(C_c(\mathcal{G}, \zeta)) \quad , \quad (A_c(t)f)(\gamma) = e^{itc(\gamma)} f(\gamma) \quad .$$

A measure μ on the space of units $\mathcal{G}_0$ defines the weight ϕ_μ on $C^*(\mathcal{G}, \zeta)$ as

$$\phi_\mu(f) = \int_{\mathcal{G}_0} f d\mu \quad . \quad (9)$$

We recall that given the automorphism A_c the weight ϕ_μ satisfies the KMS condition at $0 \leq \beta < \infty$ if, for any $f, g \in C_c(\mathcal{G}, \zeta)$, we have

$$\phi_\mu(f * A_c(i\beta)(g)) = \phi_\mu(g * f) \quad ;$$

if $\beta = \infty$, ϕ_μ satisfies the KMS condition at ∞ if $|\phi_\mu(f * A_c(z)(g))| \leq \|f\| \|g\|$, for any z such that $\text{Im} z > 0$. It is proven that μ satisfies the (c, β) -KMS condition for $\beta \in [0, \infty]$ if and only if ϕ_μ satisfies the KMS condition for the automorphism A_c at β .

The GNS representation generated by the KMS weight ϕ_μ is obtained as convolution action of $C_c(\mathcal{G}, \zeta)$ on the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathcal{G}, \nu^{-1})$. If β is finite (and so μ is quasi invariant and $D = e^{-\beta c}$ is the modular function) then $C_c(\mathcal{G}, \zeta)$, equipped with the inner product of $L^2(\mathcal{G}, \nu^{-1})$, is a left Hilbert algebra. We recall the definition.

Definition 4.1. A $*$ -algebra $\mathcal{A}$, equipped with a inner product, is a left Hilbert algebra if the left regular representation is bounded and involutive, if $S(f) = f^*$, $f \in \mathcal{A}$, is preclosed, and if $\mathcal{A}^2$ is dense in $\mathcal{A}$.

The polar decomposition of $S = JD^{1/2}$ defines the modular conjugation J and the modular operator $D = S^\dagger S$. On $f \in C_c(\mathcal{G}, \zeta)$ we have

$$(Jf)(\gamma) = D^{1/2}(\gamma)f^*(\gamma) , \quad (Df)(\gamma) = D(\gamma)f(\gamma) .$$

In case $\beta = \infty$ the KMS condition means that the hamiltonian c is positive on $\text{supp}(\nu)$ and the associated state ϕ_μ is called a *ground state*.

4.1 The groupoid $\mathcal{O}_1$

Let us discuss here an example of the previous construction that will be relevant in the following sections. Let $\mathbb{Z}$ act on $\overline{\mathbb{Z}} = \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}$ by translation and leaving ∞ fixed, and let $\overline{\mathbb{Z}} \times \mathbb{Z}$ be the action groupoid. Here $\mathbb{Z}$ is considered with the discrete topology and $\overline{\mathbb{Z}}$ is the compactification to $+\infty$, therefore the action groupoid is a locally compact Hausdorff topological groupoid. The $n = 1$ Cuntz groupoid (see [18]) is the restriction $\mathcal{O}_1 = (\overline{\mathbb{Z}} \times \mathbb{Z})|_{\overline{\mathbb{N}}}$ of the action groupoid to $\overline{\mathbb{N}} \subset \overline{\mathbb{Z}}$. The Haar system is given by the discrete measure on the l -fibre and the convolution algebra $C_c(\mathcal{O}_1)$ is defined by

$$e_{m,n} * e_{p,q} = \delta_{m+n,p} e_{m,n+q} , \quad e_{m,n}^* = e_{m+n,-n} , \quad (10)$$

where $e_{m,n}(p, q) = \delta_{mp} \delta_{nq}$. The groupoid C^* -algebra $C^*(\mathcal{O}_1)$ can be described as the C^* -algebra generated by the shift operator $\mathcal{S}$ on $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$, the explicit isomorphism being $\mathcal{S} = \sum_{m \geq 0} e_{1+m,-1}$, with the action $e_{m,n}|p\rangle = \delta_{m+n,p}|m\rangle$.

Let us consider the cocycle $c_1(m, n) = n$; the corresponding automorphism is

$$A_{c_1}(t)e_{m,n} = e^{itn}e_{m,n} . \quad (11)$$

In [18] it is shown that there exists a unique (c_1, β) -KMS probability measure on $\epsilon(\mathcal{O}_1) = \overline{\mathbb{N}}$ for $\beta \leq 0$: for $\beta = -\infty$ it reads $\mu_{-\infty}(0) = 1$, for $\beta = -\hbar < 0$ it is $\mu_\hbar(n) = e^{-n\hbar}(1 - e^{-\hbar})$ and for $\beta = 0$ it is $\mu_0(\infty) = 1$.

Let us analyse the corresponding GNS constructions.

Let us consider first the measure $\mu_\hbar$; the GNS state (9) is given by

$$\phi_{\mu_\hbar}(f) = \sum_m f(m, 0) \mu_\hbar(m) . \quad (12)$$

The GNS Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{\mu_\hbar}$ has a basis given by $\{|m, n\rangle \equiv e_{m,n-m}\}$ with $\langle m, n | m', n' \rangle = \phi_{\mu_\hbar}(e_{m,n-m}^* e_{m',n'-m'}) = \delta_{mm'} \delta_{nn'} \mu_\hbar(n)$ and action

$$e_{m,n-m}|p, q\rangle = \delta_{np}|m, q\rangle .$$

The cyclic vector is $|\xi\rangle = \sum_{n \geq 0} |n, n\rangle$, the modular operator and conjugation respectively are $D|m, n\rangle = e^{-\hbar(m-n)}|m, n\rangle$ and $J|m, n\rangle = e^{-\hbar(m-n)/2}|n, m\rangle$.

The GNS state corresponding to the ground state $\mu_{-\infty}$ is $\phi_{\mu_{-\infty}}(f) = f(0, 0)$, and the Hilbert state is $\mathcal{H}_{\mu_{-\infty}} = \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ with action

$$e_{m,n}|p\rangle = \delta_{m+n,p}|m\rangle .$$

Finally the GNS state corresponding to μ_0 is $\phi_{\mu_0}(f) = f(\infty, 0)$ and the GNS Hilbert space is $\mathcal{H}_{\mu_0} = L^2(\mathbb{S}^1)$ with action given by $fF = \sigma(f)F$, where $F \in L^2(\mathbb{S}^1)$ and $\sigma : C^*(\mathcal{O}_1) \rightarrow C(\mathbb{S}^1)$ is defined as

$$\sigma(f)(x) = \sum_m f(\infty, m)x^m . \quad (13)$$

One can easily check that $\sigma(\mathcal{S})(x) = \bar{x}$. Finally, if we denote with $\mathcal{K}$ the C^* -algebra of compact operators, it is shown in [3] that the following sequence is exact

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \rightarrow C^*(\mathcal{O}_1) \xrightarrow{\sigma} C(\mathbb{S}^1) \rightarrow 0 . \quad (14)$$

The geometrical picture of (14) consists of looking to $C^*(\mathcal{O}_1)$ as the quantization of a full disk whose Poisson structure is symplectic in the interior and zero on the boundary.

5 The Podleś spheres

The “quantum spheres” are a family parametrized by $c \in \mathbb{R}$ of unital $*$ -algebras $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{S}_{q,c}^2)$ introduced in [17]; the deformation parameter q is real. They are generated by $\tau = \tau^*$ and α with relations

$$q^2\alpha^*\alpha = \tau(1 - \tau) + cI, \quad q^2\alpha\alpha^* = q^2\tau(1 - q^2\tau) + cI, \quad \alpha\tau = q^2\tau\alpha .$$

We are interested in the case $c = 0$. There are only two irreducible representations, the counit ε and the infinite dimensional ρ . The counit is $\varepsilon(\tau) = 1$, $\varepsilon(\alpha) = 0$. With respect to an orthonormal basis $\{\psi_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$, ρ is defined as ($0 < q < 1$):

$$\rho(\tau)\psi_n = q^{2n}\psi_n, \quad \rho(\alpha)\psi_n = q^{n-1}(1 - q^{2n})^{1/2}\psi_{n-1} . \quad (15)$$

The operators $\rho(\alpha)$ and $\rho(\tau)$ are compact and the associated C^* -algebra $C(\mathbb{S}_{q,0}^2)$ is isomorphic to the minimal unitization of the compact operators.

In [21] it is proved that the C^* -algebras of quantum spheres can be realized as concrete groupoid C^* -algebras. In terms of the generators $e_{m,n}$ of $C^*(\mathcal{O}_1)$, discussed in the previous section, the generators of $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{S}_{q,0}^2)$ are:

$$\tau = \sum_{m \geq 0} q^{2m} e_{m,0}, \quad \alpha = \sum_{m \geq 0} q^m (1 - q^{2(m+1)})^{1/2} e_{m,1} . \quad (16)$$

They generate the C^* -subalgebra $C^*(\mathbb{S}_{q,0}^2) = \sigma^{-1}(\mathbb{C})$, where σ is defined in (13); since $C^*(\mathcal{O}_1)$ is the quantization of the disk whose Poisson structure is symplectic in the interior and zero on the boundary, the C^* -subalgebra $\sigma^{-1}(\mathbb{C})$ corresponds to identify the boundary to a point. In the groupoid picture this corresponds to restrict to the subgroupoid

$$\mathcal{G}_S = \{(m, n) \in \mathcal{O}_1 \mid m = \infty \implies n = 0\} \subset \mathcal{O}_1. \quad (17)$$

The Sheu groupoid $\mathcal{G}_S$ inherits from $\mathcal{O}_1$ the Haar system, so that $C^*(\mathbb{S}_{q,0}^2) = C^*(\mathcal{G}_S)$, and the cocycle c_1 whose automorphism (11) reads $A_{c_1}(t)\tau = \tau$ and $A_{c_1}(t)\alpha = e^{it}\alpha$. Finally the state ϕ_{μ_h} given in (12) is the usual Haar measure on $\mathbb{S}_{q,0}^2$ when we identify $q = e^{-h/2}$; as a KMS-state, ϕ_{μ_h} satisfies

$$\phi_{\mu_h}(f * A_{c_1}(-i\hbar)g) = \phi_{\mu_h}(g * f).$$

Let us recall that $A_{c_1}(-i\hbar)f = D(f)$ with D being the modular operator discussed in Section 4.1.

The Poisson structure $(\mathbb{S}^2, \pi)$ is the semiclassical limit of $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{S}_{q,0}^2)$. In terms of the complex generator α and real τ satisfying $|\alpha|^2 = \tau(1 - \tau)$ the bracket is

$$\{\alpha, \tau\} = i\alpha\tau, \quad \{\alpha, \bar{\alpha}\} = i\tau(1 - 2\tau).$$

The symplectic foliation is made of a singular point $\{N\}$ defined by $\tau = 0$ and of a two dimensional symplectic leaf on the complement. On $\mathbb{S}^2 \setminus \{N\}$, the Poisson tensor reads in terms of the complex coordinate $z = \alpha/\tau$ as

$$\pi = -i(1 + |z|^2)\partial_z \wedge \partial_{\bar{z}};$$

on the chart $\mathbb{S}^2 \setminus \{S\}$, where $\{S\}$ is defined by $\tau = 1$, we have in terms of $w = 1/z = \bar{\alpha}/(1 - \tau)$

$$\pi = -i|w|^2(1 + |w|^2)\partial_w \wedge \partial_{\bar{w}}.$$

The Poisson cohomology of $(\mathbb{S}^2, \pi)$ has been computed in Proposition 2.18 of [10]. The result is

$$H_{LP}^{(0)} = \mathbb{R}, \quad H_{LP}^{(1)} = \mathbb{R}[\chi_{V_{\mathbb{S}^2}}], \quad H_{LP}^{(2)} = \mathbb{R}[\pi] \oplus \mathbb{R}[i\partial_z \wedge \partial_{\bar{z}}], \quad (18)$$

where $\chi_{V_{\mathbb{S}^2}}$ is the modular vector field that we will describe in (23).

6 The symplectic groupoid of $(\mathbb{S}^2, \pi)$

We describe here the explicit integration of $(\mathbb{S}^2, \pi)$. Since $(\mathbb{S}^2, \pi)$ is a Poisson homogeneous space, *i.e.* $\mathbb{S}^2 = U(1) \backslash SU(2)$, with $SU(2)$ equipped with the Poisson Lie group structure, we can use the general construction given in [1].

The general framework for Poisson homogeneous spaces is the following, see [14] for a detailed account of Poisson reduction. Let G be a simply connected Poisson Lie group and let G^* be the dual Poisson-Lie group of G , that is simply connected by definition. We assume that G is complete and we denote the left and right *dressing action* of G on G^* as ${}^g\gamma$ and γ^g , respectively, for $g \in G$ and $\gamma \in G^*$. Analogously we denote with ${}^\gamma g$ and g^γ the dressing actions of G^* on G . We recall that the double group is $D = G \times G^*$ and that the dressing actions are defined by the following relations in D : $g\gamma = {}^g\gamma g^\gamma$ and $\gamma g = {}^\gamma g \gamma^g$, for $g \in G$ and $\gamma \in G^*$.

Let $H \subset G$ be a closed coisotropic subgroup; then on $H \backslash G$ there exists a canonical Poisson structure $\pi_{H \backslash G}$ such that the quotient map is Poisson. We denote the quotient map $G \rightarrow H \backslash G$ as $g \rightarrow [g]$. The annihilator $\mathfrak{h}^\perp \subset \mathfrak{g}^*$ is a subalgebra as a consequence of coisotropy and let $H^\perp \subset G^*$ be the coisotropic subgroup integrating $\mathfrak{h}^\perp$.

The general result established in [1] describes a symplectic groupoid of the Poisson homogeneous space $(H \backslash G, \pi_{H \backslash G})$ as

$$\mathcal{G}(H \backslash G, \pi_{H \backslash G}) = \{([g], {}^{g^{-1}}\sigma) \in H \backslash G \times G^* : \sigma \in H^\perp\}.$$

If we consider a (local) section $s_U : H \backslash G \rightarrow G$, on $U \subset H \backslash G$ we define the trivialization $U \times H^\perp \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(H \backslash G, \pi_{H \backslash G})|_U$ as

$$([g], \sigma) \mapsto ([g], {}^{s_U[g]^{-1}}\sigma).$$

If H is a Poisson Lie subgroup and not just coisotropic, then the exponential map $\exp : \mathfrak{h}^\perp \rightarrow H^\perp$ intertwines the adjoint with the dressing action. If moreover $\exp$ is a diffeomorphism, *i.e.* $H^\perp$ is of exponential type, then the symplectic groupoid $\mathcal{G}(H \backslash G, \pi_{H \backslash G})$ is diffeomorphic to $T^*(H \backslash G)$.

We are going to apply this description to the standard Podleś sphere $\mathbb{S}^2 = U(1) \backslash SU(2)$, where $G = SU(2)$ is equipped with the Poisson structure corresponding to the factorization $D = SL(2, \mathbb{C}) = SU(2) \times SB(1, \mathbb{C})$, where

$$SB(1, \mathbb{C}) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} v & n \\ 0 & v^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad v > 0, n \in \mathbb{C} \right\}.$$

Moreover the subgroup H is the diagonal $U(1)$ and $H^\perp$ is the subgroup of $SB(1, \mathbb{C})$ corresponding to $v = 1$ in the above parametrization. Here $H^\perp$ is

of exponential type with $H^\perp = \exp \mathfrak{h}^\perp = 1 + \mathfrak{h}^\perp \simeq \mathbb{C}$. We call N , the north pole, the zero symplectic leaf of $(\mathbb{S}^2, \pi)$ and S the opposite one:

$$N = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right], \quad S = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right].$$

Let us choose on $\mathbb{S}^2 \setminus \{S\}$ (the *singular chart*) the complex coordinate

$$w \left[\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -b^* & a^* \end{pmatrix} \right] = \frac{b}{a}$$

and the section

$$g_S(w) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + |w|^2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & w \\ -\bar{w} & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

so that we have the following trivialization:

$$(w, \sigma_S) \mapsto \left(w, g_S(w)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \sigma_S \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right).$$

On $\mathbb{S}^2 \setminus \{N\}$ (the *symplectic chart*) we choose the complex coordinate

$$z \left[\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ -b^* & a^* \end{pmatrix} \right] = \frac{a}{b}$$

and the section

$$g_N(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + |z|^2}} \begin{pmatrix} z & 1 \\ -1 & \bar{z} \end{pmatrix},$$

that gives the trivialization:

$$(z, \sigma_N) \mapsto \left(z, g_N(z)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \sigma_N \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right).$$

We observe that

$$g_S = \frac{1}{|w|} \begin{pmatrix} w & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{w} \end{pmatrix} g_N = t_{SN} g_N.$$

From the condition that the two charts coincide on the overlap we get:

$$g_N^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \sigma_N \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = g_S^{-1} t_{SN} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \sigma_N \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = g_S^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{w^2}{|w|^2} \sigma_N \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

then

$$\sigma_S = \frac{w^2}{|w|^2} \sigma_N.$$

Defining

$$p_N = \frac{\bar{\sigma}_N}{1 + |z|^2}, \quad p_S = -\frac{\bar{\sigma}_S}{1 + |w|^2},$$

we have that p_N e p_S transform as the coordinates of the cotangent bundle, *i.e.* $p_N = -w^2 p_S$.

This makes explicit the diffeomorphism between $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{S}^2, \pi_{H \setminus G})$ and $T^*\mathbb{S}^2$. Let us now discuss the groupoid structure in the two charts. It is clear what it means for l defined as $l([g], \gamma) = [g]$; we have to compute the formulas for r defined as $r([g], \gamma) = [g^\gamma]$. We observe that defining $\sigma = {}^g\gamma \in H^\perp$, we have that $g\gamma = {}^g\gamma g^\gamma = \sigma g^\gamma = {}^\sigma(g^\gamma) \sigma^{g^\gamma}$ then $g = {}^\sigma(g^\gamma)$ or $\sigma^{-1}g = g^\gamma$. In the double quotient $G \times G^* \rightarrow H \setminus G \times G^*/G^* \simeq H \setminus G$ the element $\sigma^{-1}g = \sigma^{-1}g(\sigma^{-1})^g \in G \times G^* \rightarrow [\sigma^{-1}g] = [g^\gamma]$. Then for computing $[g^\gamma]$ in terms of the trivialization coordinates we can compute the coordinate of the class of $\sigma^{-1}g$ in the double quotient $G \times G^* \rightarrow H \setminus G$. We have that

$$w \left[\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \right] = -\bar{C}/A \quad \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in SL(2, \mathbb{C}).$$

An explicit computation with $g = g_S$ and $\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \sigma_S \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ gives in the singular chart,

$$l(w, \sigma_S) = w, \quad r(w, \sigma_S) = [g_S(w)^\gamma] = \frac{w}{1 + \sigma_S \bar{w}}$$

and, in the symplectic chart with $g = g_N$ and $\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \sigma_N \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$,

$$l(z, \sigma_N) = z, \quad r(z, \sigma_N) = [g_N(z)^\gamma] = z + \sigma_N. \quad (19)$$

The chart without the singularity is a simply connected symplectic manifold that integrates to the pair groupoid; there exists a symplectic groupoid morphism $\phi : \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{S}^2)|_{\mathbb{S}^2 \setminus \{N\}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$ which is given by

$$\phi(z, p_N) = (z, z + \sigma_N(p_N, z)) = (z, z + (1 + |z|^2)\bar{p}_N), \quad (20)$$

as a consequence of commutativity with source and target maps. We use it to read the multiplication rule in $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{S}^2)$; for $z' = z + \sigma_N = z + (1 + |z|^2)\bar{p}_N$ we have

$$(z, p_N)(z', p'_N) = (z, p_N + \frac{1 + |z'|^2}{1 + |z|^2} p'_N). \quad (21)$$

Analogously, the symplectic form in this chart can be read from the pair groupoid as

$$\Omega = \frac{1}{i(1 + |z|^2)} dz \wedge d\bar{z} - \frac{1}{i(1 + |z + \sigma_N|^2)} (dz + d\sigma_N) \wedge (d\bar{z} + d\bar{\sigma}_N). \quad (22)$$

We summarize all the discussion in the following Proposition.

Proposition 6.1. *The (ssc) symplectic groupoid $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{S}^2, \pi)$ is diffeomorphic to $T^*\mathbb{S}^2$, equipped with the source and target maps (19), the multiplication (21) and the symplectic form (22).*

Let $V_{\mathbb{S}^2} = idz d\bar{z} / (1 + |z|^2)^2$ be the round volume form on $\mathbb{S}^2$. The modular vector field $\chi_{V_{\mathbb{S}^2}}$ reads

$$\chi_{V_{\mathbb{S}^2}} = i(z\partial_z - \bar{z}\partial_{\bar{z}}) \quad (23)$$

and defines a non trivial class in Poisson cohomology, as seen in Section 5.

The integrated counterpart is the modular function $c_{V_{\mathbb{S}^2}} \in C^\infty(T^*\mathbb{S}^2)$ defined as

$$c_{V_{\mathbb{S}^2}}(x, y) = \int_0^1 dt \langle \eta(t), \chi_{V_{\mathbb{S}^2}}(\gamma(t)) \rangle ,$$

for any cotangent path (γ, η) such that $\gamma(0) = x$, $\gamma(1) = y$. It is a smooth function and defines a real valued groupoid cocycle, *i.e.* $\partial^* c_{V_{\mathbb{S}^2}} = 0$. After an easy computation we get

$$\begin{aligned} c_{V_{\mathbb{S}^2}}(x, y) = \log D_{V_{\mathbb{S}^2}} &= \log \frac{1 + |y|^2}{1 + |x|^2} = \log \frac{1 + |z + (1 + |z|^2)\bar{p}_N|^2}{1 + |z|^2} \\ &= \log \frac{|w|^2 + |1 - (1 + |w|^2)\bar{w}\bar{p}_S|^2}{1 + |w|^2} , \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

where $x = z$ and $y = z + \sigma_N$. When restricted to the symplectic chart, it is trivial, indeed $c_{V_{\mathbb{S}^2}} = \partial^* \phi$ with $\phi(x) = \log(1 + |x|^2)$. Since under the Van Est map it corresponds to the modular class, as a cocycle of the whole $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{S}^2, \pi)$, it is not trivial.

In terms of the groupoid structures the interpretation of $c_{V_{\mathbb{S}^2}}$ goes as follows. Up to a scalar multiplication, any Haar system on $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{S}^2, \pi)$ is written as

$$\lambda^x = -i\Lambda(y)dyd\bar{y}, (|x| < \infty); \quad \lambda^\infty = -idp_S d\bar{p}_S , \quad (25)$$

for any positive Λ such that $\lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} \Lambda(y) = 1$. If we choose on $\mathbb{S}^2$ the round volume form $V_{\mathbb{S}^2}$, the induced volume form on $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{S}^2, \pi)$ is $\nu(V_{\mathbb{S}^2}) = \Lambda D_{V_{\mathbb{S}^2}} \Omega^2$, so that

$$\nu = \nu^{-1} D_{V_{\mathbb{S}^2}}^2 e^{-\partial^* \log \Lambda} ,$$

and the modular cocycle is $2c_{V_{\mathbb{S}^2}} - \partial^* \log \Lambda$.

Remark 6.2. (i) If we restrict $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{S}^2, \pi)$ to the singular chart we get a symplectic groupoid integrating the Poisson structure on $\mathbb{C} \simeq \mathbb{R}^2$ with quadratic tensor $\pi = i|w|^2(1 + |w|^2)\partial_w \wedge \partial_{\bar{w}}$. The restriction on the symplectic $\mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ is the pair groupoid $\mathbb{C}^* \times \mathbb{C}^*$, so that the source fibre is not simply connected. The (ssc) groupoid integrating this Poisson structure was obtained in [6].

(ii) Since $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{S}^2, \pi)$ is a Lie groupoid the modular cocycle can be computed without introducing the Haar system, as explained in [26, 8]. Both computations agree and define a class in groupoid cohomology that is twice the one defined in (24), in the same way the algebroid modular class of T^*M is twice the Poisson modular class (see [8]).

7 Geometric quantization of $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{S}^2, \pi)$

Let us summarize what can be said about the prequantization of $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{S}^2, \pi)$. The symplectic form Ω in (22) is exact; this can be easily seen by looking that its restriction on the source fibres is exact and by applying Corollary 5.3 of [4]. The prequantization line bundle is therefore the trivial one $T^*\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{C}$ with connection equal to $(i/\hbar)\Theta$, for some choice of Θ such that $d\Theta = \Omega$. The prequantization cocycle $\zeta_\Theta : \mathcal{G}_2(\mathbb{S}^2, \pi) \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$ satisfies (6) so that it depends on this choice. In particular, we can ask if there exists a multiplicative primitive of Ω , *i.e.* a primitive Θ such that $\partial^*\Theta = 0$. This would imply $\zeta_\Theta = 1$. By applying Theorem 4.2 in [4] and the non triviality of the class of the Poisson tensor π in Poisson cohomology, that we mentioned in Section 5, we conclude that the answer is negative.

Let us now come to the choice of a symplectic groupoid polarization. Our guiding principle here will be that since we would like to be able to quantize the modular function F_V , our lagrangian polarizations should be F_V -invariant. We will propose two different choices. The first one is a real singular polarization (topological constraints here does not allow a non singular one) and then a complex one.

7.1 A real polarization

In this case our strategy will be to choose first a polarization on the symplectic chart and then try to extend it to the whole groupoid $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{S}^2, \pi)$.

The map $\phi : \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{S}^2, \pi)|_{\mathbb{S}^2 \setminus \{N\}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$ given in (20) identifies two variables (x, y) as:

$$x = z = \frac{1}{w}, \quad y = z + (1 + |z|^2)\bar{p}_N = \frac{1}{w}[1 - (1 + |w|^2)\bar{w}\bar{p}_S],$$

with inverse given in the symplectic chart by

$$z = x, \quad p_N = \frac{\bar{y} - \bar{x}}{1 + |x|^2},$$

and in the singular chart by

$$w = 1/x, \quad p_S = -x^2 \frac{\bar{y} - \bar{x}}{1 + |x|^2}.$$

Let us consider the harmonic oscillator polarization of $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$ given by the contour levels of $F_+(x) = |x|^2$ e $F_-(y) = |y|^2$. This is a real multiplicative polarization of $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{S}^2, \pi)$ restricted to the chart. To be precise, we have already introduced harmonic oscillator singularities, though they can be considered to be not relevant from the point of view of quantization (see the discussion about elliptic singularities in [11]). If we add the missing lagrangian leaf $T_N^* \mathbb{S}^2$ we get a partition of $T^* \mathbb{S}^2$ in disjoint Lagrangian submanifolds.

Unfortunately, this partition fails to define a continuous distribution (even in a generalized sense) of the whole $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{S}^2, \pi)$. In order to understand the topology around the lagrangian leaf $\infty \equiv T_N^* \mathbb{S}^2$, it is better to describe the space of leaves in the following way. Let $\mathbb{R}$ act on $\bar{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$ (i.e. with the compactification at $+\infty$) leaving ∞ fixed and let $\bar{\mathbb{R}} \times \mathbb{R}$ be the action groupoid. The space of leaves $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{S}^2)$ can be characterized as the subgroupoid $\{(s, t) \mid s \geq 0, s+t \geq 0\} \cup \{(\infty, 0)\}$ over $\bar{\mathbb{R}}_{\geq 0} = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \cup \{\infty\}$. In fact the lagrangian leaves are the contour levels of the groupoid morphism (f, F) , where $f : \mathbb{S}^2 \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}_{\geq 0}$ and $F : \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{S}^2, \pi) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{S}^2)$ can be read in the symplectic chart as:

$$f(z) = \log(1 + |z|^2) \quad , \quad F(x, y) = (\log(1 + |x|^2), \log(\frac{1 + |y|^2}{1 + |x|^2})) \quad . \quad (26)$$

Remark 7.1. (*The polarization is singular*). The point $\infty \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{S}^2)$ is a singular point from the point of view of the smooth structure, so that $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{S}^2)$ inherits from the ambient space just the topology. The tangent spaces to the contour levels of F fail to define a continuous distribution of $\mathcal{G}(\mathbb{S}^2, \pi)$, even in the generalized sense. Let us check it by computing the coordinate vector fields for $w \neq 0$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} &= -\frac{w}{\bar{w}} \frac{1}{1 + |w|^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{p}_S}, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{y}} &= -\frac{\bar{w}}{w} \frac{1}{1 + |w|^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_S}, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} &= -w^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial w} + \frac{w}{\bar{w}} \frac{1 - \bar{w} \bar{p}_S}{1 + |w|^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{p}_S} + \frac{w p_S}{1 + |w|^2} (1 + 2|w|^2) \frac{\partial}{\partial p_S}, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{x}} &= -\bar{w}^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{w}} + \frac{\bar{w}}{w} \frac{1 - w p_S}{1 + |w|^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_S} + \frac{\bar{w} \bar{p}_S}{1 + |w|^2} (1 + 2|w|^2) \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{p}_S}. \end{aligned}$$

The polarization in $w \neq 0$ is spanned by the Hamiltonian fields

$$\chi_+ = i(1 + |x|^2)(\bar{x} \partial_{\bar{x}} - x \partial_x), \quad \chi_- = i(1 + |y|^2)(\bar{y} \partial_{\bar{y}} - y \partial_y),$$

that in terms of the coordinates w e p_S read

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_+ &= i(1 + |w|^2)\left(-\frac{1}{w}\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{w}} + \frac{1}{\bar{w}}\frac{\partial}{\partial w}\right) + i\frac{1}{|w|^2\bar{w}}[-1 + 2\bar{w}p_S(1 + |w|^2)]\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{p}_S} \\ &\quad - i\frac{1}{|w|^2w}[-1 + 2wp_S(1 + |w|^2)]\frac{\partial}{\partial p_S} \\ \chi_- &= iA(w, p_S)\left[\frac{1}{w\bar{w}^2}(1 + w\delta y)\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{p}_S} - \frac{1}{\bar{w}w^2}(1 + \bar{w}\delta \bar{y})\frac{\partial}{\partial p_S}\right]\end{aligned}$$

where

$$A(w, p) = (1 + |y|^2)\frac{|w|^2}{1 + |w|^2}, \quad w\delta y = -(1 + |w|^2)\bar{w}\bar{p}_S.$$

The polarization in $(w, 0)$ is spanned by

$$\chi_+ + \chi_- = i\frac{(1 + |w|^2)}{|w|^2}\left(w\frac{\partial}{\partial w} - \bar{w}\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{w}}\right), \quad \chi_- = \frac{i}{|w|^2}\left(\frac{1}{\bar{w}}\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{p}} - \frac{1}{w}\frac{\partial}{\partial p}\right);$$

it is clear that no regular vector fields survive in the limit $w \rightarrow 0$. $\square$

Let us analyze *Bohr-Sommerfeld* conditions of the Lagrangian leaves. A Lagrangian leaf is a Bohr-Sommerfeld leaf if the flat connection obtained by restriction of the prequantization has trivial holonomy. Bohr Sommerfeld condition means that both $\int_{|x|^2=F_+} \Theta$ and $\int_{|y|^2=F_-} \Theta$ live in $2\pi\hbar\mathbb{Z}$ where Θ is any primitive of the symplectic form (22). By direct computation this means that the leaf defined by (F_+, F_-) is Bohr-Sommerfeld if and only if there exist $n_{\pm} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that

$$F_{\pm} = e^{\hbar n_{\pm}} - 1.$$

Let us describe the Bohr-Sommerfeld leaves on $\mathbb{S}^2$ induced by l (or r). Let $\alpha = z/(1 + |z|^2)$, $\tau = 1/(1 + |z|^2)$ be the usual parametrization of $\mathbb{S}^2$. The Bohr-Sommerfeld leaves on $\mathbb{S}^2$ are given by

$$\tau = e^{-\hbar n}, \quad n \geq 0,$$

i.e. the spectrum of $\rho(\tau)$ given in (15) for $q = e^{-\hbar/2}$.

Remark 7.2. According to (26), the Bohr-Sommerfeld leaves identify the subgroupoid of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{S}^2)$ described as $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{S}^2)_{BS} = \{(\hbar m, \hbar n), m + n \geq 0, m \geq 0\} \cup \{\infty\}$ with space of units given by $(\overline{\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}})_{BS} = \{\hbar n, n \geq 0\} \cup \{\infty\}$. Equipped with the relative topology, this groupoid of BS-leaves coincide with Sheu's groupoid $\mathcal{G}_S$ described in Section 5.

Remark 7.3. We see that the modular function $c_{V_{\mathbb{S}^2}}$, computed in (24), descends to a cocycle of $\mathcal{G}_S$ that reads $c_{V_{\mathbb{S}^2}} = \hbar c_1$, where c_1 is the cocycle introduced in Section 4.1. The corresponding modular automorphism $A_{c_1}(-i\hbar)$ is exactly the algebraic van den Bergh automorphism (which, for the standard Podleś sphere was computed in [13]). This relation is not surprising since, as shown by Dolgushev in [7], in the context of formal deformation quantization the modular vector field is quantized by a derivation of the deformed algebra which exponentiate to the van den Bergh automorphism. Geometric quantization through symplectic groupoids confirms, through a different path, the same result (let us recall that Dolgushev's theorems do not apply directly here). The Poisson modular class can thus be shown to give rise to two fundamental objects arising in quantization: the modular operator of Tomita–Takesaki theory on the analytic side and the van den Bergh dualizing bimodule on the algebraic side.

7.2 A complex polarization

We introduce here a complex polarization. Since the symplectic groupoid restricted to the symplectic leaf is a Kähler manifold, we can take the Kähler polarization and then extend it with the vertical polarization on the zero dimensional leaf. We will show that we get a smooth lagrangian distribution.

Let the polarization F be defined as $F = \langle \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{y}} \rangle$ for finite (x, y) and $F = (T_N^* \mathbb{S}^2)_{\mathbb{C}}$ in $(w = 0, p_S)$.

Lemma 7.4. *F is a positive and multiplicative polarization. Moreover $\det F$ is a trivial line bundle.*

Proof. For any (w, p) let us compute

$$\begin{aligned} v_1(w, p_S) &\equiv \frac{\bar{w}}{w} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} = -|w|^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial w} + \frac{1 - \bar{w} p_S}{1 + |w|^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{p}_S} + \frac{\bar{w} p_S}{1 + |w|^2} (1 + 2|w|^2) \frac{\partial}{\partial p_S} \\ v_2(w, p_S) &\equiv \frac{w}{\bar{w}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{y}} = -\frac{1}{1 + |w|^2} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_S}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $v_1(0, p_S) = \partial/\partial \bar{p}_S$ and $v_2(0, p_S) = \partial/\partial p_S$, $\{v_1, v_2\}$ is a local smooth basis for F and $\partial_x \wedge \partial_{\bar{y}} = v_1 \wedge v_2$ is a global non vanishing section for $\det F$. Multiplicativity and positivity are direct checks. $\square$

Remark 7.5. The associated real distributions are singular; in fact $\mathcal{D} = F \cap \bar{F}$ is 0 on finite (x, y) and $(T_N^* \mathbb{S}^2)_{\mathbb{C}}$ in $(w = 0, p_S)$.

A basis of hamiltonian vector fields is $\{\chi_{\bar{x}}, \chi_y\}$; by construction they are covariantly constant under the Bott connection. We identify F with $F^\perp = \Omega(F)$, so that the basis of covariantly constant sections is $\underline{b} = \{d\bar{x}, dy\}$. Since $\det F^\perp$ is trivial, its unique square root $\sqrt{\det F^\perp}$ is the trivial line bundle.

Let us choose the following local primitive of the symplectic form (22)

$$\Theta = \frac{1}{2i} \log(1 + |x|^2) \left(\frac{d\bar{x}}{\bar{x}} - \frac{dx}{x} \right) - \frac{1}{2i} \log(1 + |y|^2) \left(\frac{d\bar{y}}{\bar{y}} - \frac{dy}{y} \right). \quad (27)$$

The local primitive Θ is multiplicative, *i.e.* $\partial^* \Theta = 0$ so that the corresponding prequantization cocycle is 1. We stress the fact that this is true only locally, in fact the obstruction to have a global multiplicative primitive has been discussed at the beginning of this Section.

A polarized section $\sigma \otimes \sqrt{\underline{b}} \in C^\infty(T^*\mathbb{S}^2) \otimes C^\infty(\sqrt{\det F^\perp})$ satisfies

$$\partial_x \sigma + \frac{1}{2\hbar} \frac{\log(1 + |x|^2)}{x} \sigma = \partial_{\bar{y}} \sigma + \frac{1}{2\hbar} \frac{\log(1 + |y|^2)}{\bar{y}} \sigma = 0.$$

Observe that, since $\underline{b}$ and Θ are only local, σ is not a global function on $T^*\mathbb{S}^2$. If one uses the global section given in the proof of Lemma (7.4), then he has to add the contribution of the Bott connection. The solution can be written as

$$\sigma = \psi(\bar{x}, y) e^{\frac{1}{2\hbar} (Li_2(-|x|^2) + Li_2(-|y|^2))}, \quad (28)$$

where $Li_2(t) = -\int_0^t d\tau \frac{\log(1-\tau)}{\tau}$ is the integral expression for the dilogarithm. According to (1), the scalar product reads

$$\langle \sigma_1, \sigma_2 \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{C}^2} d^2x d^2y e^{\frac{1}{\hbar} (Li_2(-|x|^2) + Li_2(-|y|^2))} \frac{\overline{\psi_1(\bar{x}, y)} \psi_2(\bar{x}, y)}{\sqrt{1 + |x|^2} \sqrt{1 + |y|^2}}, \quad (29)$$

where $d^2x = dx d\bar{x}/i$. The (local) observable $f = \log(1 + |x|^2)$ is quantizable; indeed its hamiltonian vector field $\chi_f = i(\bar{x}\partial_{\bar{x}} - x\partial_x)$ satisfies $[\chi_f, \chi_{\bar{x}}] = i\chi_{\bar{x}}$. So the quantization rule reads (see (2))

$$\hat{f}\psi = \hbar \bar{x} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{x}} \psi + \frac{\hbar}{2} \psi.$$

Lemma 7.6. *The states $\sigma_{m,n} = \bar{x}^m y^n \exp(Li_2(-|x|^2) + Li_2(-|y|^2))/2\hbar$ are orthogonal and normalizable.*

Proof. The norm of $\sigma_{m,n}$ is computed by using the scalar product (29) as $||\sigma_{m,n}||^2 = A_m A_n$, where

$$A_m = 2\pi \int_0^\infty dt \frac{t^m}{\sqrt{1+t}} e^{\frac{1}{\hbar} Li_2(-t)}.$$

We need the following functional relation valid for $t > 0$ (see [16])

$$Li_2(-t) = -Li_2(-\frac{1}{t}) - \frac{\pi^2}{6} - \frac{1}{2}(\log t)^2, \quad (30)$$

that implies the asymptotic behaviour for $t \gg 1$. As a consequence, for $t > t_0$, $Li_2(-t) \leq C_{t_0} - 1/2(\log t)^2$. Since $\exp(-(\log t)^2/2\hbar)$ is rapidly decreasing then A_m is bounded by a converging integral. $\square$

The eigenvalue problem for $\hat{f}$ is the same as the usual two dimensional harmonic oscillator (with half zero point energy), so that, for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\hat{f}\sigma_{m,n} = \hbar(m + \frac{1}{2})\sigma_{m,n}.$$

Remark that $\tau = 1/(1 + |x|^2)$ is a global function on $\mathbb{S}^2$ that can be embedded as $l^*\tau = e^{-f}$ in $C^\infty(T^*\mathbb{S}^2)$, so that

$$\widehat{l^*\tau}\sigma_{m,n} = e^{-\hbar(m+1/2)}\sigma_{m,n}.$$

Moreover the modular function (24) can be written as $D_{V_{\mathbb{S}^2}} = l^*\tau/r^*\tau$ so that it is quantizable and we get

$$\widehat{D}_{V_{\mathbb{S}^2}}\sigma_{m,n} = e^{-\hbar(m-n)}\sigma_{m,n}$$

that we recognize as the modular operator of $C^*(\mathcal{O}_1)$ described in Subsection 4.1.

8 The left Hilbert algebra from polarized sections

We want now to define a convolution product between the polarized sections of the complex polarization studied in Subsection 7.2. Our task is to define a left Hilbert algebra by using the scalar product (29) and compute the corresponding modular automorphism.

If σ_i , $i = 1, 2$, are polarized sections, then $\sigma_1(x, z)\sigma_2(z, y)$ lives in the square root of $\det F_{(x,z)}^\perp \otimes \det F_{(z,y)}^\perp = \det F_{(x,y)}^\perp \otimes \det T_{(x,z)}^* l^{-1}(x)$. Since we want to integrate on $l^{-1}(x)$, we need to tensor it with a nonvanishing section of (the square root of) $\det T_{(x,z)}^* l^{-1}(x)$ in order to get a top form. This can be done with $\Omega|_{l^{-1}(x)}$, so that we get the following definition for the convolution product and involution of polarized sections σ_i ,

$$\sigma_1 * \sigma_2(x, y) = \int_{\mathbb{C}} d^2z \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + |z|^2}} \sigma_1(x, z)\sigma_2(z, y), \quad \sigma^*(x, y) = \overline{\sigma(y, x)}. \quad (31)$$

Remark 8.1. Formula (31) coincides with the prescription given in Section 5.3-5.4 of [12]. Indeed we have that $\Omega_F = \det F^\perp$, where Ω_F is defined in (5.4) of [12], and the above steps leading to the convolution formula are the same as applying Lemma 5.3 of [12].

Equipped with its scalar product (29), the space of polarized sections becomes a left Hilbert algebra, as one can check directly. The modular operator is defined from the polar decomposition of the involution operator $S(\sigma) = \sigma^*$, *i.e.* $D = S^\dagger S$. One immediately checks that $S^\dagger = S$ so that $D = 1$! Indeed $S^\dagger \sigma_{m,n} = S \sigma_{m,n} = \sigma_{n,m}$, since the symplectic scalar product (29) is symmetric in the exchange of x with y .

Both scalar product and convolution formula depend on choices that have been done by using uniquely the symplectic structures. We are going to show that the right choice is done instead by using the left Haar system introduced in (25). In fact, the Haar system (25) consists in giving a volume form on $l^{-1}(x)$ for any $x \in \mathbb{S}^2$, depending on a non vanishing $\Lambda \in C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^2)$, that can be used to define the convolution algebra; with this choice we get

$$\sigma_1 *_\Lambda \sigma_2(x, y) = \int_{\mathbb{C}} d^2 z \sqrt{\Lambda(z)} \sigma_1(x, z) \sigma_2(z, y), \quad \sigma^*(x, y) = \overline{\sigma(y, x)}. \quad (32)$$

The scalar product (29) depends on the choice of the isomorphism (1), that in our case is a trivialization of $\det T^*(T^*\mathbb{S}^2)$, that in the purely symplectic case is naturally done with the symplectic volume. If one fixes a Haar system on the groupoid, then other choices are possible. In fact, for any choice of the volume form $V_{\mathbb{S}^2, \rho} = \rho V_{\mathbb{S}^2}$ on $\mathbb{S}^2$, for $V_{\mathbb{S}^2}$ being the round volume form and some positive $\rho \in C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^2)$, there is defined the following volume form on $T^*\mathbb{S}^2$

$$\nu_{(\rho, \Lambda)} = \rho(x) \Lambda(y) D_{V_{\mathbb{S}^2}} \Omega^2.$$

Remark that this procedure defines a subset of all possible volume forms and that the symplectic volume Ω^2 is not in this set, due to the non triviality of the modular class. If we use $\nu_{(\rho, \Lambda)}^{-1}$ to trivialize $\det T^*(T^*\mathbb{S}^2)$ we get for the scalar product

$$\langle \sigma_1, \sigma_2 \rangle_{(\rho, \Lambda)} = \int_{\mathbb{C}} d^2 x d^2 y \frac{\sqrt{\rho(y) \Lambda(x) D_{V_{\mathbb{S}^2}}^{-1}(x, y)}}{\sqrt{(1 + |x|^2)(1 + |y|^2)}} \overline{\sigma_1(x, y)} \sigma_2(x, y); \quad (33)$$

moreover, if we choose $\Lambda(y) = \Lambda(|y|^2)$ and $\rho(x) = \rho(|x|^2)$, then $\|\sigma_{m,n}\|_{(\Lambda, \Lambda)}^2 = \ell_m r_n$, where

$$\ell_m = 2\pi \int_0^\infty dt t^m \sqrt{\Lambda(t)} e^{\frac{1}{\hbar} Li_2(-t)}, \quad r_m = 2\pi \int_0^\infty dt \frac{t^m}{1+t} \sqrt{\rho(t)} e^{\frac{1}{\hbar} Li_2(-t)}. \quad (34)$$

It is important to state the following asymptotic behaviour.

Lemma 8.2. *The asymptotic expansion of ℓ_n/r_n for large n and fixed $\hbar$ is*

$$\frac{r_n}{\ell_n} \sim e^{-\hbar(n+\frac{1}{2})} \sqrt{\frac{\rho(\infty)}{\Lambda(\infty)}}.$$

Proof. Both ℓ_n and r_n are divergent in this asymptotic limit, as we will check later, so that we can subtract from the integrals in (34) the contribution on $(0, 1)$ which are finite. By using (30), we see that we have to evaluate the asymptotic of integrals of this form

$$I_n(F) = 2\pi \int_1^\infty dt e^{n(\log t - \frac{1}{2\hbar}(\log t)^2)} F(t) \quad ,$$

where $r_n = I_{n-1}(F_r)$, $\ell_n = I_n(F_\ell)$, $F_\ell(t) = \exp[(-Li_2(-1/t) - \pi^2/6)/\hbar] \sqrt{\Lambda(t)}$ and $F_r(t) = \exp[(-Li_2(-1/t) - \pi^2/6)/\hbar] \sqrt{\rho(t)/(1+1/t)}$. With $s = \log t/(\hbar(n+1))$ we get

$$I_n(F) = 2\pi\hbar(n+1)e^{h(n+1)^2/2} \int_0^\infty ds e^{-\frac{\hbar}{2}(n+1)^2(s-1)^2} F(e^{h(n+1)s}) .$$

Since both F_ℓ and F_r are bounded in the integration domain, we get the asymptotic of $I_n(F)$ by the saddle point evaluation. We collect so

$$r_n \sim 2\pi\sqrt{2\pi\hbar} e^{-\pi^2/6\hbar} e^{\hbar n^2/2} \quad , \quad \ell_n \sim 2\pi\sqrt{2\pi\hbar} e^{-\pi^2/6\hbar} e^{\hbar(n+1)^2/2} \quad ,$$

from which the result follows. $\square$

Recalling now the discussion in Subsection 4.1 about the GNS construction associated to the quasi invariant measure $\mu_\hbar$ with modular function $e^{\hbar c_1}$, where $c_1(m, n) = n$, we state the following Proposition.

Proposition 8.3. *The vector space $\mathcal{A}_{\widehat{D}}$ spanned by the eigenvectors of $\widehat{D}_{V_{\mathbb{S}^2}}$ equipped with the convolution and involution (32) and the scalar product (33) is a left Hilbert algebra. The map $e : \mathcal{A}_{\widehat{D}} \rightarrow C_c(\mathcal{O}_1)$ defined by*

$$e(\sigma_{m,n}) = e_{m,n-m} \sqrt{\ell_m \ell_n} \tag{35}$$

is an algebra homomorphism and an isometry if $C_c(\mathcal{O}_1)$ is equipped with the scalar product of the GNS state $\phi_{\mu_{(\rho,\Lambda)}}$ defined by the quasi invariant probability measure

$$\mu_{(\rho,\Lambda)}(m) = \frac{r_m}{\ell_m} .$$

This measure is equivalent to $\mu_\hbar$ so that the modular automorphism is cohomologous to c_1 , i.e. $c_{(\lambda,\Lambda)} = c_1 + \partial^* \varphi$, where $\varphi \in C_c(\overline{\mathbb{N}})$ is defined as $\varphi(m) = \frac{1}{\hbar} \log(r_m/\ell_m) + m$ and $\varphi(\infty) = -\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{\rho(\infty)}{\Lambda(\infty)}$.

Proof. By direct computation one shows that (35) respects the relations (10) of $C_c(\mathcal{O}_1)$ and

$$\phi_{\mu(\rho,\Lambda)}(e(\sigma_{m,n})^* * e(\sigma_{m,n})) = \phi_{\mu(\rho,\Lambda)}(e_{n,0})\ell_m\ell_n = \mu_{(\rho,\Lambda)}(n)\ell_m\ell_n = \|\sigma_{m,n}\|_{(\rho,\Lambda)}^2.$$

Since $\mathcal{A}_{\widehat{D}}^2 = \mathcal{A}_{\widehat{D}}$ and $e(\mathcal{A}_{\widehat{D}})$ is dense in $\mathcal{H}_{\mu(\rho,\Lambda)}$ it follows that $\mathcal{A}_{\widehat{D}}$ is an Hilbert algebra. The eigenvectors of the modular operator $D_{(\lambda,\Lambda)} = S^\dagger S$ are $\sigma_{m,n}$ with eigenvalue $\ell_n r_m / \ell_m r_n$ and the formula for the modular cocycle $c_{(\rho,\Lambda)} = \log D_{(\lambda,\Lambda)} / \hbar$ follows. Moreover, $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(m) = \varphi(\infty)$ as a consequence of Lemma 8.2. $\square$

Remark 8.4. *i)* The choice of (ρ, Λ) is not relevant, since it doesn't change the class of the modular cocycle. On the contrary, no choice of (ρ, Λ) in (33) can recover the symplectic scalar product (29).

ii) The asymptotic behaviour stated in Lemma 8.2 is the condition that assures that the identity $1_\Lambda = \sum_{n \geq 0} e_{n,0}$ has finite norm with respect to the scalar product (33).

9 Conclusions

Von Neumann versus C^* -algebra. The outcome of our construction is an Hilbert algebra and, by applying a standard procedure, a Von Neumann algebra. This framework is very natural from the quantization point of view and in the paradigm of non commutative geometry it means that we are looking to the quantum space from the point of view of measure theory. What one should do in order to get the right topology, *i.e.* the right C^* -algebra? The closure of $\mathcal{A}_{\widehat{D}}$ with respect to the operator norm gives the C^* -algebra $\mathcal{K}$ of compact operators. We know that the correct answer is obtained by adjoining the identity.

Role of characteristic classes. In the cohomology of a Poisson manifold there are two distinct classes that play an important role in the quantization procedure: the class of the Poisson tensor itself and the modular class.

In the example we analyzed in this paper, they are both non trivial. The non vanishing of the class of the Poisson tensor is responsible for the appearing of a non trivial prequantization two-cocycle that twists the convolution algebra of sections. In this example the class is trivial once we remove a measure zero set from the symplectic groupoid, and for this reason we didn't need the explicit formula of the prequantization cocycle. In more general situations we expect that

an explicit formula is unavoidable and moreover that a compatibility between the polarization and the cocycle must be imposed.

The modular class plays a major role in our construction. First it puts restrictions on the choice of polarization. With such choices the modular vector field is quantized to the modular operator which describes an intrinsic property of the quantum measurable space. In fact it plays a relevant role in defining the scalar product between polarized sections. This construction can be seen as the analytic analogue of the algebraic quantization of the modular class provided by Dolgushev (see [7]).

Further examples. If one is interested in understanding the procedure of quantization we believe that our analysis shows the importance of studying concrete examples. It is very natural to try to extend the results of this paper to compact quantum groups, mainly $SU_q(n)$, and quantum homogeneous spaces, for example $\mathbb{C}P_q(n)$ or other quantum spheres. Sheu's papers showed that their C^* -algebras can be described as groupoid C^* -algebras so that we can expect that we can obtain results similar to those described here. Some difficulties that here we avoided due to the simplicity of the example will appear: for instance an explicit expression of the quantization cocycle will be probably needed. It has to be expected, though, that real singular polarizations will be needed in these cases as well; a general theory of such polarizations is at present still missing.

One can also consider other Poisson structures with the same foliation than the standard Podleś sphere but with a different degree of singularity, for instance the quartic one. As Poisson manifolds, they are completely inequivalent (for instance infinite dimensional Poisson cohomology versus finite dimensional). The one with quartic singularity carries an abelian action and can be therefore explicitly integrated and quantized in this scheme. The topology of the quantum space should be the same as the Podleś sphere we are considering. It would be relevant to understand how to describe additional structures (like the smooth structure) in order to distinguish the quantum spaces.

Acknowledgement:

We are grateful to Domenico Seminara and Filippo Colomo for useful discussions.

References

- [1] F. Bonechi, N. Ciccoli, N. Staffolani, M. Tarlini, *On the integration of Poisson homogeneous spaces*. Journal of Geometry and Physics, **58** (2008) 1519–1529.
- [2] A.S. Cattaneo and G. Felder, *Poisson sigma models and symplectic groupoids*. In “Quantization of Singular Symplectic Quotients”, (ed. N. P. Landsman, M. Pflaum, M. Schlichenmeier), Progress in Mathematics, **198** (2001) 41–73.
- [3] L. A. Coburn, *The C^* -algebra generated by an isometry*. I. Bull. AMS, **73** (1967) 722–726 .
- [4] M. Crainic, *Prequantization and Lie brackets*. J. Symplectic Geom., **2** (2004) 579–602 [arXiv:math.DG/0403269].
- [5] M. Crainic and R. L. Fernandes, *Integrability of Lie brackets*. Ann. of Math., **157** (2003) 575–620.
- [6] F. A. Cuesta, P. Dazord and G. Hector, *Sur l’intégration symplectique de la structure de Poisson singulière $\Lambda = (x^2 + y^2)\partial_x \wedge \partial_y$ de $\mathbb{R}^2$* . Publicacions Matemàtiques, **33** (1989) 411–415.
- [7] V. Dolgushev, *The van den Bergh duality and the modular symmetry of a Poisson variety*, Selecta Math. **14** (2009) 199–228.
- [8] S. Evens, J.-H Lu and A. Weinstein, *Transverse measures, the modular class, and a cohomology pairing for Lie algebroids*. The Quarterly Journal of Mathematics **50** (1999) 417–436.
- [9] R. L. Fernandes and D. I. Ponte *Integrability of Poisson Lie Group Actions*, Lett. Math. Phys. **90** (2009) 137–159.
- [10] V. Ginzburg, *Momentum mappings and Poisson Cohomology*. International J.of Mathematics, **7** (1996) 329–358.
- [11] M. Hamilton, *Locally toric manifolds and singular Bohr–Sommerfeld leaves*, Mem.Amer.Math.Soc. at press.
- [12] E. Hawkins, *A groupoid approach to quantization*. J. Symplectic Geom., **6** (2008) 61–125 [arXiv:math.SG/0612363].
- [13] U. Krämer, *On the Hochschild (co)homology of quantum homogeneous spaces*, preprint [arXiv:0806.0267] (2008).

- [14] J.-H. Lu, *Multiplicative and affine Poisson structures on Lie groups*. Phd thesis, Univ. of California, Berkeley, (1990).
- [15] J.-H. Lu: *A note on Poisson homogeneous spaces*. Contemporary Mathematics, **450** (2008) 173–198. [arXiv:0706.1337]
- [16] L. C. Maximon, *The Dilogarithm Function for complex argument*. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A **409** (2003) 2807–2819.
- [17] P. Podleś, *Quantum Spheres*. Lett. Math. Phys., **14** (1987) 193–202.
- [18] J. Renault, *A groupoid approach to C^* -algebras*. Lectures Notes in Mathematics 793, Springer Verlag (1980).
- [19] A.J.L. Sheu (with an appendix by J.H. Lu and A. Weinstein), *Quantization of the Poisson $SU(2)$ and its Poisson homogeneous space – the 2 sphere*. Comm. Math. Phys., **135** (1991) 217–232.
- [20] A.J.L. Sheu, *Quantum Spheres As Groupoid C^* -algebras*. The Quarterly Journal of Mathematics, **48** (1997) 503–510
- [21] A.J.L. Sheu, *Groupoid Approach to Quantum Projective Spaces*. Contemporary Mathematics, **228** (1998) 341–350 [arXiv:math/9802083v1]
- [22] J. Śniatycki, *Geometric Quantization and Quantum Mechanics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, (1980).
- [23] L. Stefanini, *Integrability and reduction of Poisson group actions*, preprint [arXiv:0710.5753] (2007)
- [24] A. Weinstein, *Symplectic groupoids, geometric quantization and irrational rotation algebras*. In “Symplectic geometry, groupoids and integrable systems” (Berkeley, CA, 1989), 281–290, Springer, New York (1991). MR1104934.
- [25] A. Weinstein and P. Xu, *Extensions of symplectic groupoids and quantization*. J. Reine Angew. Math., **417** (1991) 159–189
- [26] A. Weinstein, *The modular automorphism of a Poisson manifold*. Journal of Geometry and Physics, **23** (1997) 379–394.